



# A Longitudinal Naturalistic Study of Patients With Dissociative Disorders Treated by Community Clinicians

Bethany L. Brand and Scot W. McNary  
Towson University

Amie C. Myrick  
Family and Children's Services of Central Maryland,  
Bel Air, Maryland

Catherine C. Classen  
University of Toronto

Ruth Lanius  
University of Western Ontario

Richard J. Loewenstein  
Sheppard Pratt Health Systems, Baltimore, Maryland and  
University of Maryland School of Medicine

Clare Pain  
University of Toronto and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto,  
Ontario, Canada

Frank W. Putnam  
Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio and University of North Carolina

Severe dissociative disorders (DD) are associated with high levels of impairment, treatment utilization, and treatment costs, yet relatively little systematic research has focused on treatment for these challenging patients. The goal of this naturalistic observational 30-month follow-up study of an international sample of patients with dissociative disorders was to determine if treatment provided by community providers was associated with improvements in symptoms and adaptive functioning. The patients were diagnosed with dissociative identity disorder (DID) and dissociative disorder not otherwise specified (DDNOS). The patients and their therapists completed surveys at study entry and at 6-, 18-, and 30-month follow-up. At the 30-month follow-up, 119 of the original 226 patients completed the surveys. According to patients' reports, they showed decreased levels of dissociation, posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms, general distress, drug use, physical pain, and depression over the course of treatment. As treatment progressed, patients reported increased socializing, attending school or volunteering, and feeling good. According to therapists' reports, patients engaged in less self-injurious behavior and had fewer hospitalizations as well as increased global assessment of functioning scores (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and adaptive capacities over time. These results suggest that treatment provided by therapists who have training in treating DID/DDNOS appears to be beneficial across a number of clinical domains. Additional research into the treatment of DD is warranted.

Keywords: dissociation, dissociative identity disorder, trauma, PTSD, treatment

Supplemental material: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0027654.supp>

Dissociative disorders (DD) are common among psychiatric with ranges between 1 to 20.7% among inpatients (e.g., Friedl & samples in North America as well as Western and Eastern Europe; Braijer, 2000; Gast, Rodewald, Nickel, & Emrich, 2001; (Rifkin,

---

Funding for this study came from an anonymous contribution made to

Bethany L. Brand, Department of Psychology, Towson University; Sheppard Pratt Health Systems' Trauma Disorders Program and grants Scot W. McNary, Department of Educational Technology and Literacy, from the Constantinidas Family Foundation, Towson University, and the Towson University; Amie C. Myrick, Family and Children's Services University of Western Ontario. Portions of these data were presented at of Central Maryland, Bel Air, Maryland; Catherine C. Classen, Department of Psychology, University of Toronto; Ruth Lanius, Department of Psychology, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada; Richard J. Loewenstein, Sheppard Pratt Health Systems, Baltimore, Maryland, and University of Maryland School of Medicine; Clare Pain, Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto and Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Frank W. Putnam, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio and University of North Carolina. Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Bethany L. Brand, Department of Psychology, Towson University, Liberal Arts Bldg. 8000 York Road, Towson, MD 21252. E-mail: bbrand@towson.edu

Ghisalbert, Dimatou, Jin, & Sethi, 1998; Ross, Anderson, Fleisher, & Norton, 1991; Tutkun et al., 1998) and 12 to 29% among outpatients (e.g., Foote, Smolin, Kaplan, Legatt, & Lipschitz, 2006; Şar et al., 2003; Şr, Tutkun, Alyanak, Bakim, & Baral,

2005). Therapists invited one patient to participate in the study from their caseload of those diagnosed with either DID or dissociative disorder not otherwise specified (DDNOS).

Therapists completed password-protected, web-based surveys. The methodology and therapist survey were adapted from a naturalistic community study of borderline personality disorder (BPD; Zittel Conklin & Westen, 2005). To protect patient confidentiality and to recruit a wider range of participants—including those who did not have access to the Internet—patient measures were sent via postal mail to therapists' work addresses. Therapists gave packets of measures to their patients, who completed them outside of treatment and without the presence of the therapist. Patients returned the packets directly by mail to the researchers. All surveys were identified by code numbers to match pairs of patient and therapist surveys. The study received institutional review board approval and all participants (therapists and patients) provided informed consent prior to participation. Neither therapists nor patients were compensated for participation.

Follow-up rates/retention. Sample sizes for therapist interviews are as follows: Time 1,  $n = 295$ ; Time 2,  $n = 189$ , (189/295 = 64% follow-up); Time 3,  $n = 174$  (59%); and Time 4,  $n = 135$  (46%). Sample sizes for patients at each follow-up were: Time 1,  $n = 226$ ; Time 2,  $n = 171$  (76%); Time 3,  $n = 131$  (58%); and Time 4,  $n = 111$  (49%). Two patients completed the Time 2 follow-up only and four completed the Time 4 only. None of these patients were included in the follow-up rate calculation ( $n = 173$  and  $n = 115$  total interviews at Time 2 and 4, respectively). Data were missing due to either attrition or patient termination. Length of time to the first follow-up averaged 205.9 days ( $SD = 44.8$ ), 584.5 ( $SD = 64.0$ ) to the second follow-up, and 936.5 ( $SD = 59.8$ ) to the final follow-up.

Therapists and/or patients who completed at least two protocols,

chiatric symptoms. Items are rated on a 5-point scale of symptom distress ranging from 0 (not at all) to 4 (extremely). The Global Severity Index (GSI), the average score for all 90 items, patients with DD typically score higher on the SCL-90-R than other psychiatric outpatients and inpatients (Ellason & Ross, 2004; Steinberg, Barry, Sholomskas, & Hall, 2005). In addition to the GSI, the depression subscale was used in this study. Across the four follow-ups, Cronbach's alpha coefficients in the current study ranged from .96 to .98 for the GSI and from .88 to .93 for the depression subscale.

Data analysis. Descriptive statistics reported for continuous variables included the mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum. Percentages are reported for categorical variables. Statistical analyses were conducted using the statistical programming environment R (R Development Core Team, 2011) and random effects models were implemented using lme4 package (Bates & Maechler, & Bolker, 2011) within R. In addition to the intercept, time in months since baseline interview (Month), and the square of time (Month<sup>2</sup>) were assessed as both fixed and random effects. Models with linear terms are reported for these behaviors. Self-reported alcohol use in the past 30 days did not decrease over time, but using prescription and street drugs to become intoxicated in the past 30 days decreased by 44% in the odds of use by month. A few have random linear effects of time, but none have random curvilinear time effects.

Correlates of missingness. Missing data were accommodated by using full information maximum likelihood (FIML) supplemented with auxiliary variables (Collins, Schafer & Ham, 2001; Enders, 2005). Auxiliary variables are correlates of missingness status. Cases that are missing observations in later follow-ups have systematically different values for auxiliary variables than cases that are observed at each time point. By including auxiliary variables in the models for the outcomes, the process of missingness is incorporated, potentially reducing bias in the estimation due to exclusion of cases lost to follow-up. The search for auxiliary variables was conducted with data at each follow-up point. Background characteristics of patients and therapists were correlated with missingness at all three follow-up points. Although several variables were correlated with missingness at each time point, only the number of previous patients treated and graduation from the Dissociative Disorders training program were significantly associated with missingness at all three follow-ups with both therapist and patient missing data status (|0.11| - |0.22|). Cases with observed data tended to have therapists who had treated more patients and who had graduated from the ISSTD's DDPTP, a therapist training program, compared to cases with no follow-up data. The consistency of these associations and representation in both categorical and continuous domains suggested these as good candidates for auxiliary variables in the FIML maximum likelihood method approach to missing data. Auxiliary variables "number of patients treated" and "DDPTP program graduate" were subsequently included as covariates in all longitudinal models.

### Therapist Report of Patient Destructive and Suicidal Behaviors

Similar to patient reports, the number of therapist-reported patient self-harm episodes decreased significantly over time (5% decrease in odds of self-harm report by month). Unlike patient reports, therapist report of suicide attempts did not significantly decrease with time; the odds of suicide attempts, as reported by therapists, decreased by 6% each month.

### Hospital Use

Patients reported no change in probability of patient hospitalization or use of psychiatric day programs. In contrast, therapists reported a significant decrease in probability of hospitalization; the odds of hospitalization decreased by 31% each month.

### Adaptive Functioning

Patient report. The odds of volunteering/going to school, feeling good feelings, and participating in social activities increased each month 3%, 10%, and 5%, respectively. However, there was a decrease in the odds of reporting a current work situation.

## Results

### Patient-Rated Symptoms and Dysfunctional Behaviors

Patient reports of dissociative symptoms, general psychiatric symptoms, depression, and PTSD symptoms decreased significantly over the course of the study (see Table 1). Except for DES,

Table 1

intercepts were much larger than those for the random effect of improvements in symptoms and adaptive functioning, as well as a month. decreased need for hospitalization at follow-ups at 6, 18, and 30 months. Therapist report of transition across stages of therapy at adjacent follow-ups (Time 1 to Time 2, Time 2 to Time 3, and Time 3 to Time 4) are found in Supplemental Table 5. An ordinal regression with stage of treatment as the outcome and time in months as a predictor revealed that there was statistically significant change in stage over time ( $B = .023$ ,  $SE = .006$ ,  $Z = 4.09$ ;  $p = .001$ ), such that the odds of progressing to a later stage increased by 2% per month. Patients with DID/DDNOS showed declines in dissociation, depression, general distress, using drugs to get high, engaging in dangerous behavior, physical pain, and posttraumatic symptomatology over the course of 30 months of treatment. Furthermore, patients reported more frequent involvement in volunteer jobs and/or attending school, socializing with friends, and feeling good as treatment progressed. These improvements were generally consistent with the therapists' reports. Therapists rated the patients as improving in their functioning (i.e., GAF scores) and increasing adaptive capacities (i.e., PITQ scores) over 30 months of treatment. Therapists indicated that patients engaged in less self-

### Discussion

We found that treatment for the patients with DD in this international prospective, naturalistic study was associated with

injurious behavior, fewer suicide attempts, and required less frequent hospitalizations at the follow-ups compared to baseline. Furthermore, there was significant change from baseline to 30 month follow-up in terms of the number of patients who progressed to higher stages of treatment, as reported by the therapists, compared to the number who regressed to a lower stage of treatment. The differences in reports of suicide attempts and hospitalizations may have been due to the therapists and patients completing their surveys independently so they may have been referring to different months in treatment.

Whereas patients in this study show numerous important changes in symptoms and functioning over time, symptom relief was by no means complete. For example, the 30-month follow-up mean dissociation score, although lower than that at baseline (

## References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (4th ed., text rev.). Washington DC: Author.
- Bates, D., Maechler, M., & Bolker, B. (2011). lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using Eigen and Eigen. R package version 0.999375-40. Retrieved from <http://R-Forge.R-project.org/projects/lme4/>
- Bernstein, E., & Putnam, F. W. (1986). Development, reliability, and validity of a dissociation scale. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 174, 727–735. doi:10.1097/00005053-198612000-00004
- Bradley, R., Greene, J., Russ, E., Dutra, L., & Westen, D. (2005). A multidimensional meta-analysis of psychotherapy for PTSD. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 162, 214–227. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.162.2.214
- Brand, B., Classen, C. C., Lanius, R., Loewenstein, R. J., McNary, S. W., Pain, C., & Putnam, F. W. (2009). A naturalistic study of dissociative identity disorder and dissociative disorder not otherwise specified patients treated by community clinicians. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, & Policy*, 1, 153–171. doi:10.1037/a0016210
- Brand, B., Classen, C. C., McNary, S. W., & Zaveri, P. (2009). A review of dissociative disorders treatment studies. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 197, 646–654. doi:10.1097/NMD.0b013e3181b3afaa
- Carlson, E. B., Putnam, F. W., Ross, C. A., Torem, M., Coons, P., Dill, D. L., . . . Braun, B. G. (1993). Validity of the Dissociative Experiences Scale in screening for multiple personality disorder: A multicenter study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 150, 1030–1036.
- Classen, C., Nevo, R., Koopman, C., Nevill-Manning, Gore-Felton, C., Rose, D. S., . . . Spiegel, D. (2002). Recent stressful life events, sexual revictimization, and their relationship with traumatic stress symptoms among women sexually abused in childhood. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 17, 1274–1290. doi:10.1177/088626002237856
- Collins, L., Schafer, J., & Hermon, C. (2001). A comparison of inclusive and restrictive strategies in modern missing data procedures. *Psychological Methods*, 6, 330–351. doi:10.1037/1082-989X.6.4.330
- Courtois, C. A., & Ford, J. D. (Eds.). (2009). *Treating complex traumatic stress disorders: An evidence-based guide*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.

(3rd ed.). Minneapolis, MN: National Computer Systems.



(1986). The clinical phenomenology of multiple personality disorder:  
Review of 100 recent cases. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 47, 285–  
293.

R Development Core Team. (2011). R: A language and environment for